

# 2020 Annual Report Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC

A Multi-Payer Report of Quality Performance Results



## Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC 2020 Annual Report

## Contents

Overview	3
Section 1. Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC Profile	4
Section 2. Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC Report	4
Section 3. Statewide Benchmark Comparisons	7
Technical Notes	8
Report Interpretation Limitations	10
Appendix A – 2020 NYS ACO Core Measure Set	11
Appendix B – Quality Measure Results for Commercial	12
Appendix C – Quality Measure Results for Medicaid	13
Appendix D – Quality Measure Results for Medicare	14

#### **Overview**

The New York State Accountable Care Organization Scorecard Report is a multi-payer view of performance results on a set of eight quality measures for Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) that have been issued a certificate of authority by the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH). Public Health Law (PHL) Article 29-E requires the NYSDOH to establish a program governing the approval of Accountable Care Organizations. PHL § 2999-p defines an ACO as "an organization of clinically integrated health care providers that work together to provide, manage, and coordinate health care (including primary care) for a defined population; with a mechanism for shared governance; the ability to negotiate, receive, and distribute payments; and accountability for the quality, cost, and delivery of health care to the ACO's patients" and that has been issued a certificate of authority by the NYSDOH.

#### **ACO Profile and Quality Scorecard Report**

The ACO profile presented in the following pages is intended to provide consumers with a better understanding of Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA LLC's structure as an all-payer ACO. The profile includes the following information:

- Characteristics of the organization
- Type of ACO (e.g. Hospital, Provider-led, Hybrid)
- Regions where services are provided
- Number or participants and provider/suppliers contracted by the ACO
- Number of patients attributed to the ACO
- Quality of care provided under the ACO umbrella
- Endeavors to implement evidence-based care services, telemedicine, use of electronic medical records, and other initiatives intended to accomplish the goals of accountable care.

Each profile was created from supplemental, non-confidential information submitted by the ACO through ACO certification, a survey disseminated by NYSDOH to the ACO, and other publicly available data.


The ACO Scorecard Report is a multi-payer view of performance results on a set of eight quality measures. The report displays performance results based on data submitted by health plans. Details on how data is collected can be found in the technical notes section of this report. This report does not contain Protected Health Information (PHI), and results are shared with each ACO prior to publication.

## Section 1. Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC Profile

**ACO Type: Academic/Teaching** 



Academic/Teaching Hospitals



**Service Area:** Counties in which Providers of the Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC Offer Services Offer Services

Table 1. Contracted Relationships With Managed Care Organizations (MCOs)

мсо	Commercial Contract	Medicaid Contract	Medicare Contract
Aetna	Χ		
Empire BlueCross BlueShield	X		X
Oxford Health Insurance of New York	X		
UnitedHealthcare	X		X

#### **ACO Provided Care Coordination Highlights**

Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC (MSHP) is a clinically integrated network of over 4,000 community-based and employed primary and specialty care providers, urgent care practices, Federally Qualified Health Centers, and eight hospitals spanning the five boroughs of New York City and Long Island.

MSHP's clinical leadership has established a clinical "gold-standard" approach to improve quality and safety in patient care based on evidence-based medicine across Mount Sinai's network. MSHP's Behavioral Health Workgroup is composed of a multidisciplinary team of MSHP providers includes nursing, social work, pharmacy, and other disciplines, which are aligned to ensure patients receive the highest quality of care based on their needs regardless of how they entered the care management system.

MSHP also employs a sophisticated analytics infrastructure capable of integrating clinical and claims data from multiple sources to provide actionable insights to manage quality, cost, and utilization. Data gathered from the Workgroup, along with ongoing collaborations with MSHP's Data Analytics team, help identify behavioral health needs for patients. This allows the ACO to utilize the strengths of each role on the care team to provide an individualized approach for patients.

## Section 2. Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC Report

**Table 2.** Most Common Specialties for Providers in Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC Network

Classification	Number of Providers
Diagnostic Radiology	1,623
Emergency Medicine	1,377
Internal Medicine	1,178
Radiology	890
Physician Assistant	864
Other*	12,995
Total	18,927

#### Legend

Note: Provider information was collected in 2020 for MY 2019. See: Technical Notes

**Table 3.** Members Qualifying for a Quality Measure Attributed to a Provider in an MCO That Had a Contract with Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC; Results Stratified by Health Plan and Product

Health Plan	Commercial	Medicaid	Medicare*	Total
All Contracted MCOs	58,806	0	13,204	72,010

#### Legend

**Note**: This table represents a defined subset of members in the ACO's network. Inclusion criteria was limited to members who met denominator criteria for one or more health care quality measures during the MY 2019. Member attribution information was collected from January 1 – December 31, 2019 for the MY 2019. See: **Technical Notes**. Member attribution to a given product is not dependent on whether there is a defined contract, as noted in Table 1, between the ACO and the health plan's product line.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Other" includes all other specialty types (including but not limited to Infectious Disease, Neurology, and Addiction Medicine).

<sup>\*</sup> Medicare Advantage results only. See: **Technical Notes**.

## Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC 2020 Annual Report

**Table 4.** 2020 Quality Measure Results for Eligible Members in Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC, Stratified by Payer

			Total		By Payer		
Domain	Measure	Denominator	Numerator	Result	Commercial	Medicaid	Medicare*
	Breast Cancer Screening	14,842	10,889	73%	73%	1	74%
c	Cervical Cancer Screening	26,186	21,292	81%	81%	-	
Prevention	Childhood Immunization Status Combo 3	483	272	56%	56%	I	-
Ā	Chlamydia Screening in Women (16-24 Years)	2,418	1,680	69%	69%	1	
	Colorectal Cancer Screening	32,677	19,888	61%	58%	1	70%
ase	Comprehensive Diabetes Care Eye Exams	7,881	4,542	58%	51%	I	75%
Chronic Disease	Comprehensive Diabetes Care HbA1c Testing	5,621	4,946	88%	88%	I	-
Chron	Comprehensive Diabetes Care Medical Attention for Nephropathy	5,621	4,921	88%	88%		

#### Legend


**Note:** Results are based on MY 2019. See: **Technical Notes**. Diabetes denominators differ across measures because not all diabetes measures are calculated and reported for all payers. See Appendices B, C, and D for payer-specific denominator and numerator values.

<sup>--</sup> Measure result not reported.

<sup>\*</sup> Medicare Advantage results only. See: **Technical Notes**.

## **Section 3. Statewide Benchmark Comparisons**

**Figure 1.** 2020 Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC Results Compared with the Statewide ACO Average



### Legend

= Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC Rate

= Statewide Average

**Note**: Results shown are averaged across all product lines (Commercial, Medicaid, Medicare). Results are based on MY 2019. This table includes results averaged across all products. For Medicare members, only Medicare Advantage results are included. See: **Technical Notes.** 

#### **Technical Notes**

#### **DEFINITIONS**

#### Domain

The measures are categorized by two domains: Prevention and Chronic Disease.

#### **Numerator, Denominator, Result**

For each measure, the denominator represents the total number of members that are eligible for that measure, and the numerator represents the number of members who meet the specific criteria for the measure. The result is shown as a percentage and represents the numerator divided by the denominator, multiplied by 100 unless otherwise noted.

#### Measures

Data included in this report were collected during calendar year 2020 for the 2019 Measurement Year (MY 2019) using the 2020 NYS ACO Core Measure Set. Data collected for MY 2019 reflects performance between January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019.

The quality measures in the NYS ACO Core Measure Set are from the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures established by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). Please refer to Appendix A of this report for a list of the measures and measure descriptions. Results for these measures were calculated using health plan reported results for members attributed to practices participating in the ACO"s network.

#### Methods

Health plans operating in NYS submitted Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) files containing quality measurement results for members who were included in at least one of the ACO quality measure core set during the MY 2019. In addition to primary care provider (PCP) information for each member, the file contained member-specific details on denominator inclusion and numerator compliance for each measure in the ACO core set. The National Provider Identifier (NPI) to whom the member was attributed was matched to the NPI and provider Practice Tax Identification Number (TIN) supplied by each ACO; this indicated that the practice was part of the ACO provider network. Members were attributed to provider practices using each health plan's attribution method (see section below: Member Attribution). Member-level data was aggregated across health plans linking the Practice TIN of the PCP to whom the member was attributed to a list of participating providers reported by the ACO. Linking quality measurement information for members to ACO-participating providers allows NYSDOH to produce aggregated results at the ACO level for selected quality measures.

Statewide benchmarks were calculated using the MY 2019 health-plan submitted PCMH files.

## Mount Sinai Health Partners IPA, LLC 2020 Annual Report

#### **Member Attribution**

Each health plan employed its own member attribution methodology to link members to primary care provider practices. Each ACO provided NYSDOH a list of participating providers and practices.

#### **Measure Selection**

A parsimonious set of primary care relevant measures were selected for the 2020 NYS ACO Core Measure Set to examine the quality of care for the population attributed to ACO organizations for quality improvement and monitoring. See Appendix A for detailed descriptions of each measure. Note this measure set may change or expand over time.

#### **Measure Calculation**

Administrative data were used to calculate each measure. For measures with both hybrid and administrative specifications, the administrative method was used.

Product results were calculated using all practices for which data were available and were stratified by payer (Commercial, Medicaid, Medicare).

#### **Medicaid Managed Care Results**

Please note that the Medicare advantage results shown in this report do not represent the Medicare Shared Savings Program (MSSP). This report includes Medicaid quality scores only in the case of ACO contracts with Medicare Advantage health plans. This report does not include quality scores for Medicare patients covered by the conventional Medicare program, MSSP.

The CMS quality score data for ACOs is available using the following link:

https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-

Payment/sharedsavingsprogram/Downloads/MSSP-ACO-data.pdf.

For more information on Medicare fee-for-service, please refer to the CMS website <a href="https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare.html">https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare.html</a>.

#### **Data Source**

Member-level data from the 2020 HEDIS® data were submitted by the health plans.

## **Report Interpretation Limitations**

Please note the following limitations of this ACO Report:

- 1. This ACO report includes claims-based data pooled from multiple payers. The performance results represent the quality of care provided to a larger number of members than reports distributed by individual health plans that reflect the quality of care for members insured by that health plan alone. This report is not a replacement for performance reports or gap analyses provided by individual payers or Medicare Advantage Stars, Medicare ACOs Scorecards, or other transformation or payment programs. The report does not display member-level data.
- 2. These ACO results do not account for the entire panel population. Only those members meeting continuous enrollment criteria at the payer and plan level were included in these quality measure results.

#### **ACO Program Information**

For information about New York State's Accountable Care Program, including information about how to apply for a Certificate of Authority, and to find answers to frequently asked questions, please visit the NYS website at:

https://www.health.ny.gov/health\_care/medicaid/redesign/aco/

If you have any questions about the New York State's Accountable Care Program, please contact us:

Center for Health Care Policy and Resource Development Corning Tower, Room 1695 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12237

Telephone: (518) 408-1833 Fax: (518) 474-0572

Email: acobml@health.ny.gov

#### **Feedback**

We welcome suggestions and comments on this publication. Please contact us at:

Office of Quality and Patient Safety Corning Tower, Room 1938 Empire State Plaza, Albany, New York 12237 Telephone: (518) 486-9012 Fax: (518) 486-6098

E-mail: nysgarr@health.ny.gov

## Appendix A – 2020 NYS ACO Core Measure Set

MEASURE (NQF#/Developer)	DESCRIPTION
Breast Cancer Screening (2372/HEDIS)	Percentage of women 50-74 years of age who had a mammogram to screen for breast cancer.
Cervical Cancer Screening (0032/HEDIS)	Percentage of women 21–64 years of age who were screened for cervical cancer using either of the following criteria:  - Women age 21–64 who had cervical cytology performed every 3 years.  - Women age 30–64 who had cervical high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) testing performed within the last 5 years.  - Women age 30–64 who had cervical cytology/human papillomavirus (HPV) co-testing performed every 5 years.
Childhood Immunization Status – Combo 3 (0038/HEDIS)	Percentage of children 2 years of age who had four diphtheria, tetanus and acellular pertussis (DtaP); three polio (IPV); one measles, mumps and rubella (MMR); three haemophilus influenza type B (HiB); three hepatitis B (HepB); one chicken pox (VZV); and four pneumococcal conjugate (PCV) vaccines by their second birthday. The measure calculates one combination rate.
Chlamydia Screening for Women (0033/HEDIS)	Percentage of women 16–24 years of age who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for chlamydia during the measurement year. Reported as three rates:  1. Patients of age 16 – 20 years  2. Patients of age 21 – 24 years  3. Total
Colorectal Cancer Screening (0034/HEDIS)	Percentage of members 50-75 years of age who had appropriate screening for colorectal cancer.
Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Testing (0057/HEDIS)	Percentage of members 18–75 years of age with diabetes (type 1 and type 2) who received a Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) test during the measurement year.
Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Eye Exam (Retinal) Performed (0055/HEDIS)	Percentage of members 18–75 years of age with diabetes (type 1 and type 2) who had an eye exam (retinal) performed.
Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Nephropathy (0062/HEDIS)	Percentage of members 18–75 years of age with diabetes (type 1 and type 2) who received a nephropathy screening or monitoring test or had evidence of nephropathy during the measurement year.

## **Appendix B - Quality Measure Results for Commercial**

		Overall Commercial Results			Contra	acted Results		Non-Contracted results			
Domain	Measure	Denominator	Numerator	Result	Denominator	Numerator	Result	Denominator	Numerator	Result	
	Breast Cancer Screening	15,014	10,965	73%	11,450	8,375	73%	3,564	2,590	73%	
ion	Cervical Cancer Screening	33,158	26,300	79%	26,186	21,292	81%	6,972	5,008	72%	
Prevention	Childhood Immunization Status Combo 3	585	314	54%	483	272	56%	102	42	41%	
P	Chlamydia Screening in Women (16-24 Years)	3,371	2,368	70%	2,418	1,680	69%	953	688	72%	
	Colorectal Cancer Screening	32,160	18,439	57%	25,625	14,969	58%	6,535	3,470	53%	
ase	Comprehensive Diabetes Care Eye Exams	7,465	3,778	51%	5,621	2,843	51%	1,844	935	51%	
iic Disease	Comprehensive Diabetes Care HbA1c Testing	7,465	6,464	87%	5,621	4,946	88%	1,844	1,518	82%	
Chronic	Comprehensive Diabetes Care Medical Attention for Nephropathy	7,465	6,520	87%	5,621	4,921	88%	1,844	1,599	87%	

**Note**: Overall denominator and numerator results shown represents the eligible population in the ACO. QM results for Contracted MCOs were calculated from eligible population that was in an MCO that had a risk contract with the ACO. QM results for Non-Contracted MCOs were calculated from eligible population that was in an MCO that did not have a risk contract with the ACO.

## **Appendix C - Quality Measure Results for Medicaid**

		Overall Medicaid Results			Contra	acted Results		Non-Contracted results			
Domain	Measure	Denominator	Numerator	Result	Denominator	Numerator	Result	Denominator	Numerator	Result	
	Breast Cancer Screening	7,243	5,064	70%	-	1	1	7,243	5,064	70%	
ion	Cervical Cancer Screening	26,447	18,661	71%		-	-	26,447	18,661	71%	
Prevention	Childhood Immunization Status Combo 3	1,402	881	63%	-	-	1	1,402	881	63%	
P	Chlamydia Screening in Women (16-24 Years)	3,738	3,028	81%	-	1	1	3,738	3,028	81%	
	Colorectal Cancer Screening	13,509	7,905	59%				13,509	7,905	59%	
Chronic Disease	Comprehensive Diabetes Care Eye Exams	6,511	4,304	66%				6,511	4,304	66%	
	Comprehensive Diabetes Care HbA1c Testing	6,511	5,999	92%	1	-	1	6,511	5,999	92%	
	Comprehensive Diabetes Care Medical Attention for Nephropathy	6,511	6,040	93%				6,511	6,040	93%	

#### Legend

-- Measure result not reported.

**Note**: Overall denominator and numerator results shown represents the eligible population in the ACO. QM results for Contracted MCOs were calculated from eligible population that was in an MCO that had a risk contract with the ACO. QM results for Non-Contracted MCOs were calculated from eligible population that was in an MCO that did not have a risk contract with the ACO.

## **Appendix D - Quality Measure Results for Medicare**

		Overall Medicare Results			Contra	acted Results		Non-Contracted results			
Domain	Measure	Denominator	Numerator	Result	Denominator	Numerator	Result	Denominator	Numerator	Result	
	Breast Cancer Screening	7,852	6,164	79%	3,392	2,514	74%	4,460	3,650	82%	
ion	Cervical Cancer Screening		-	I			I	-		-	
Prevention	Childhood Immunization Status Combo 3	-	1	-	-		1	1	-		
P	Chlamydia Screening in Women (16-24 Years)	-	1	1	1		1	1	1	-	
	Colorectal Cancer Screening	10,877	7,553	69%	7,052	4,919	70%	3,825	2,634	69%	
Chronic Disease	Comprehensive Diabetes Care Eye Exams	4,374	3,209	73%	2,260	1,699	75%	2,114	1,510	71%	
	Comprehensive Diabetes Care HbA1c Testing	-		-	-		1	1	-	ı	
	Comprehensive Diabetes Care Medical Attention for Nephropathy										

#### Legend

-- Measure result not reported.

**Note**: Overall denominator and numerator results shown represents the eligible population in the ACO. QM results for Contracted MCOs were calculated from eligible population that was in an MCO that had a risk contract with the ACO. QM results for Non-Contracted MCOs were calculated from eligible population that was in an MCO that did not have a risk contract with the ACO. Also, Medicare fee-for-service results are not included in this table. Medicare Advantage results only. **See Technical Notes**.