NEW YORKSTATEDEPARTMENTOFHEALTHDIVISIONOF HEALTHPLANCONTRACTINGANDOVERSIGHT ARTICLES44AND49STATEMENTOFDEFICIENCIES

NAME OF MANAGED CARE ORGANIZATION Capital District Physician's Health Plan, Inc.	TYPE OF SURVEY: Focus Survey: Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act Testing Phase III Workbooks				
STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE	SURVEY DATES:				
500 Patroon Creek Blvd.	March 11, 2020 – November 30, 2020				
Albany, NY 12206	Survey ID# - 706012282				

NOTE: The following list of deficiencies was identified by Health Department representatives during an Article 44 and/or Article 49 operational or focused survey of your Managed Care Organization (MCO). Correction of these deficiencies is required in order to bring your MCO into compliance with Article 44 and/or 49 of the New York State Public Health Law and the New York State Official Compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations (10NYCRR). In the column headed Provider Plan of Correction, describe the Plan of Corrective Action and anticipated date of corrections. The Plan of Correction should be returned within 15 business days.

Deficiencies

10 CRR-NY 98-1.16 Disclosure and filing.

(h) In the event an MCO does not provide substantially complete reports or other information required under this Subpart by the due date, or provide requested information within 30 days of any written request for a specific analysis or report by the superintendent or commissioner, the superintendent or commissioner is authorized to levy a civil penalty, after notice and hearing, pursuant to section 12 of the Public Health Lawor sections 307 and 308 of the Insurance Law.

Deficiency:

Based on the review of Capital District Physician's HealthPlan, Inc.'s (CDPHP) Phase III nonquantitative treatment limitation (NQTL) workbook submission, the MCO failed to provide all required information and comparative a nalyses demonstrating compliance with 42 CFR 438 Subpart K, and applicable State statute, rules and guidance, including the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008, (P.L. 110-345; MHPAEA) for 2 of the 10 NQTLS examined; experimental/investigational determinations and fail first.

• Specifically, CDPHP failed to define factors and provide a substantive comparative analysis in Step 3 evidentiary standards comparability and equivalent stringency, for experimental/investigational determinations in the inpatient and outpatient benefit classifications. CDPHP also failed to provide substantive comparative analyses in Step 4, as written comparability and equivalent stringency, for experimental/investigational determinations in the inpatient and outpatient benefit classifications.

Plan of Correction with Timetable

As a result of the findings outlined in this SOD, CDPHP performed the following:

- Reviewed the information provided by CDPHP for the phase III submission;
- Reviewed the approved plan of correction (POC) for phases I & II, <u>for which all deliverables are complete</u>. <u>This involved the identification of all NOTLs, their</u> <u>factors, evidentiary standards, as well as performing all</u> <u>appropriate comparative analyses</u>; and
- Reviewed the <u>current comparative analyses and NQTL</u> <u>summaries</u> for inpatient and outpatient UM determinations (which encompass medical necessity and experimental/investigational determinations) and for Rx fail first (CDPHP step therapy NQTL).

CDPHP has determined the following:

- The root cause of the identified deficiencies:
 - Insufficient narrative to describe the policies, procedures and/or operations of the NQTLs subject to phase III;
 - o In a dequate documentation of factors, evidentiary standards, and comparative analyses; and
 - o Insufficient summary of CDPHP's compliance program to evaluate and document parity.
- CDPHP notes that implementation of the approved plan of correction for phases I & II impacts the response to this SOD, particularly as it relates to resolution of the information/data insufficiency in previous NQTL summaries. As a result, no new comparative analyses are required as all were performed, including the services subject to this SOD, when CDPHP implemented its Phase II POC.

N	lC	O	Re	pres	sen	tati	ve	Sig	nat	ure	<u>:</u> :
---	----	---	----	------	-----	------	----	-----	-----	-----	------------

Shald & nelson

Date: 1-13-21

Title: Senior Vice President, State Programs

Additionally, the MCO failed to deline at and define factors Therefore, CDPHP proposes the following plan of correction: and provide a substantive comparative analyses in Step 3 Update, as necessary, the current year NQTL summaries evidentiary standards comparability and equivalent and analyses-- in the form of the DOL template (which is stringency, for fail first in the prescription drugs benefit similar to the Phase III workbooks) -- completed since the classification. CDPHP also failed to provide substantive phase III submission and implementation of the previous comparative analyses in Step 4, as written comparability and POC to ensure a comprehensive review of comparability equivalent stringency, and Step 5, in operation comparability and equivalent stringency as written and in operation (these include the DOL step by step analysis); and and equivalent stringency, for fail first in the prescription drugs benefit classification. Due to these findings, the State is not Repeat, as appropriate, staff training relating to mental able to assess whether the MCO complies with MHPAEA for health parity compliance. the above referenced NQTLs. CDPHP intends to implement the above steps by February 15, 2022. Mental health/SUD parity compliance is monitored on an ongoing basis by the Mental Health/SUD Parity Compliance Officer and the internal compliance team, which meets monthly and reviews data regularly to monitor compliance. The person responsible for completion or direction of these efforts is: Sheila Nelson Senior Vice President, State Programs

MCO Representative Signature:

Shald & nelson

Date: 1-13-21

Title: Senior Vice President, State Programs