### HMO Oversight and Its Relationship to Delivery System Performance

Presentation to the Planning Committee of the Public Health and Health Planning Council July 25, 2012

Nina M. Daratsos, JD, MSN Director, Bureau of Managed Care Certification and Surveillance New York State Department of Health

### Who Regulates Health Insurance Products?

#### **DOH**

Limited to HMOs, (including PHSPs)

#### **DFS**

Fee-for Service (indemnity plans), POS, PPO, EPO, HDHP HMO – commercial benefits and financial health

### Delineation of Responsibilities for

#### **DOH**

- Fiscal Solvency/Reserves: **MMC**
- Capitalization Requirements: **MMC**
- Provider contract approval: Prior approval - all HMOs
- Monitoring and Oversight: Annual surveys, focused review, ongoing reviews of key areas: all HMOs
- Fraud and Abuse: Limited to MMC with between 10,000 and 60,000 members

#### **DFS**

- Fiscal Solvency/Reserves: Commercial MCOs
- Capitalization Requirements: Commercial MCOs
- Provider contract approval: None
- Monitoring and Oversight: Fiscal audit once every three years: Commercial only
- Fraud and Abuse: MCOs with 60,000 or more members enrolled

### Laws and Policies Affecting Insurance Coverage and Payment

### **Medicaid Managed Care Model Contract**

- □ Enrollment and disenrollment inpatient coverage
- □ Benefit coverage
- Authorization and appeal process

#### **HMO Only (PHL, SSL)**

- □ Out-of-network access, transitional care
- □ Provider rights, credentialing
- □ 15-month claim filing (MA, FHP, CHP) for non-par providers

### **HMO and Indemnity Contracts**

- □ Prompt pay law
- □ Pre-existing conditions
- Overpayment recovery
- □ Utilization Review
- □ External Appeal
- □ Adverse reimbursement change
- □ Benefit coverage Commercial
- □ Credentialing limited to Art 48 products

# Self-Funded Plans and ERISA Pre-emption

- □ As more companies become self-funded, impact of State oversight becomes more limited.
  - Provider protections diluted
  - Member protections less defined
    - Article 49 Appeals and External Appeal

## Enrollment in Self-Funded vs. Insured Employer Sponsored Health Insurance

Based on the Urban Institute's HIPSM modeling for 2010:

- □ 9,671,000 New Yorkers have employer-sponsored coverage.
- □ Approximately 4,293,924 NY employees are covered by self-funded plans (approximately 44%).

# Health Insurance Coverage for the Nonelderly in New York (2011)

Employer	9,603,000	57%
Employer (HNY)	65,000	0%
Non-Group	32,000	0%
Non-Group (HNY)	113,000	1%
Medicaid/CHP	4,067,000	24%
Uninsured	2,724,000	16%

Adapted from "Coverage and Cost Effects of Implementation of the Affordable Care Act in New York

#### Who Bears the Most Risk?

- □ Risk is assumed largely by:
  - Self-funded business
  - State/Federal Government
  - Insurance carriers for large group commercial market

### Financial Stability

- □ HMO market
  - 10NYCRR 98-1.11 Operational and Financial requirements for HMO's
    - □ Contingent Reserve requirements
    - □ % of net premium income for the calendar year
    - □ Increasing amount until 12.5% with some special rules for HMOs forming after 2011

### Transfer of Risk by MCO to Provider

- □ HMO agreements are reviewed for transfer of risk from HMO to provider
  - Level 1: Contracts with providers or IPAs based on FFS arrangements, including with-holds and bonuses up to 25% of the payment to the provider
  - Level 2: Contracts transferring risk to providers or groups of providers for a specific service they directly provide with the provider accepting all medical risk for that service

## Transfer of Risk by MCO to Provider (cont'd)

- Level 3: Contracts that transfer broader risk to providers (multiple services provided directly, inpatient hospitalization, or FFS with withholds or bonuses greater than 25%)
- Level 4: Contracts that transfer risk to IPAs for a single or multiple services.
- Level 5: Contracts falling under risk level 3 or 4 that include services not provided directly (out-of-network services).

### 11 NYCRR 101 – Regulation No. 164

- Standards for Financial Risk Transfers
  Between Insurers and Health Care
  Providers
  - Permits transfer of risk in prepaid, "capitation" arrangements
  - Applies to HMO/Provider (IPA) arrangements

#### ACOs and Risk

#### □ Different ACO scenarios:

- ACO contracts with insurer/HMO and provides clinically integrated services for capitated payment: No insurance license required.
- ACO contracts directly with health care purchaser and receives FFS payment with shared savings: No insurance license required.
- ACO contracts directly with health care purchaser and receives capitated payment: Insurance license may be required.

# Considerations for Delivery System Performance: Financial Stability

- □ Whether applicant plans to accept risk now or in the future
  - In what context?
    - □ Insurance model (IPA, Medical Group)
    - □ ACO
    - □ Other
  - What financial resources are available?
    - □ Will parent or affiliated organization bear risk for providers?
  - What markets does the provider "play" in?
    - Medicare, Medicaid, Commercial
    - □ Percentage of the market in each of the above categories

# Considerations for System Performance: Access to Care

- □ HMOs required to submit network through HCS
  - Reviewed for accessibility using time/distance standards, choice
  - Lack of access to network provider requires out-ofnetwork access.
- □ Other Managed Models PPO, EPO
  - Networks are not reviewed for adequacy
  - OON access, but risk lies with member for payment
- □ Exchanges will require network submissions, but may not include an analysis for adequacy

# Considerations for Delivery System Performance: Cost and Quality

- □ Integrated systems have great potential to improve quality.
- May yield systems that can deliver care more efficiently and improve quality more cost effectively.

# Considerations for Delivery System Performance Cost and Quality

- □ Risk that the delivery system may wield market power to:
  - Increase costs resulting in increased insurance premiums (affecting employers, government or individual purchasers of health insurance)
  - Decrease access by reducing competitors
- □ Lack of competition and shifting of risk could adversely affect quality.

### Questions?