Public Health and Health Planning Council Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on Freestanding ASCs and Charity Care

Report

Committee Charge

The Committee convened in September, 2014, to examine the factors affecting the level of Medicaid and charity care being provided by freestanding ambulatory surgery centers (ASCs), including those that cause some ASC operators to fall significantly short of their Medicaid and charity care targets. Over the course of several meetings, the Committee heard from ASC operators, consumer advocates, Federally Qualified Health Centers, the New York State Association of Ambulatory Surgery Centers and other stakeholders regarding the practical aspects of reaching and serving uninsured and Medicaid clients. This report is the product of those meetings and of the accompanying discussions among Committee members.

Level of Service

Paragraphs (2) and (3) of Subdivision (d) of 10 NYCRR section 709.5 require ambulatory surgery centers (ASCs), whether freestanding or hospital-based, to provide charity care and services to the underserved, as evinced by:

- (2) written documentation that the proposed service or facility will enhance access to services by patients, including members of medically underserved groups which have traditionally experienced difficulties in obtaining equal access to health services (for example, low income persons, racial and ethnic minorities, women and handicapped persons) and/or rural populations;
- (3) written documentation that the facility's hours of operation and admission policies will promote the availability of services to those in need of such services regardless of their ability to pay. This shall include, but not be limited to, a written policy to provide charity care and to promote access to services regardless of an individual's ability to pay. *Charity care* shall mean care provided at no charge or reduced charge for the services the facility is certified to provide to patients who are unable to pay full charges, are not

eligible for covered benefits under Title XVIII or XIX of the Social Security Act or are not covered by private insurance;

Although this regulation makes clear that ASCs are to provide charity care, it does not specify a percentage or other measurable indicator for these efforts, nor for other services to the Medicaid population.¹

In administering this regulation over the years, the Department and the PHHPC have in general sought a minimum charity care level of two percent of projected cases and a Medicaid level of five percent from proposed operators of freestanding (i. e., not hospital-based) ASCs.

While we have found that few applicants propose more than two percent for charity care, it is not uncommon for some freestanding ASCs to propose and eventually attain a higher volume of services to Medicaid clients. This is most true of certain single specialty ASCs (e. g., ophthalmology) and in areas with high levels of enrollment in Medicaid managed care plans.

We are hopeful that this favorable trend will continue with the further implementation of Medicaid Redesign and the Affordable Care Act (ACA).

Nevertheless, there remain a significant number of freestanding ASCs that fail to reach their projected number of cases for the underserved, especially for charity care, as they approach the end of their five-year limited life certification period. This Committee has sought to identify the obstacles to attainment of these ASC targets and to consider how to tailor our application of section 709.5 to the review of proposed and actual ASC performance under the current changing circumstances of the health care system.

¹ In addition to the charity care obligations of ASCs set forth in section 709.5, the New York State Health Care Reform Act of 1996 (HCRA) assesses charges of 9.63% on revenues of freestanding ASCs obtained from commercial insurers and HMOs and 7.04% on revenues from the State share of Medicaid and from Worker's Compensation and other governmental payers. These contributions support hospitals and other eligible providers (not ASCs) in the provision of uncompensated care and other "safety net" services. In the six-year period from 2009 through 2014 inclusive, these contributions amounted to \$1.7 billion, for an average of \$285 million per year.

From statements made to the Committee over the past several months by operators of freestanding ASCs, consumer advocates, Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs) and other stakeholders, the Committee has been made aware of the practical aspects of reaching and serving uninsured and Medicaid clients, especially of how the services and characteristics of individual ASCs and the circumstances of the service area and health care market in which each operates may affect the individual facility's success in serving these populations. For example, single specialty freestanding ASCs offering endoscopy or ophthalmology are likely to serve an older clientele, a large portion of whom are 65 or over and eligible for Medicare; hence, it may be reasonable to expect a lower volume of Medicaid and charity care cases from these providers than from ASCs offering more general surgical services. Similarly, an ASC operating in an area of high Medicaid enrollment may experience considerable success in collaborating with Medicaid managed care plans but have difficulty in reaching even a minimal number of uninsured individuals. On the other hand, ASCs in some areas of the State report that they have been unable to enter into contracts with Medicaid managed care plans because of the plans' preference for working with hospital-based ASCs and reluctance to enter into agreements with multiple freestanding providers. It is also reasonable to expect that the success of the Affordable Care Act and Medicaid Redesign in reducing the number of uninsured in New York State will make it increasingly difficult for freestanding ASCs to find and serve uninsured clients.

In view of these circumstances and the likelihood of continuous change in the health care system for some time to come, the Committee concludes that there is no specific minimum or optimum proportion of Medicaid and charity care cases that can be prescribed uniformly for freestanding ASCs in meeting the requirements of section 709.5. However, we believe that the lack of specificity in section 709.5 actually affords the PHHPC and the Department the

flexibility to evaluate each ASC according to the totality of its proposed and actual volume of services to the underserved, whether Medicaid, charity care or a combination of the two. We recommend that this assessment of effort for individual freestanding ASCs be undertaken with regard to the nature and scope of the services proposed, the organization and delivery of health care services in the ASC's service area and the distribution of insured, uninsured and Medicaid clients in the community.

Assessment of Effort - Prospective

The adequacy of an individual freestanding ASC's effort to serve the underserved may be evaluated prospectively, in the review of the initial CON application, and retrospectively, when the facility is near the completion of its initial five-year, limited life operating period. In both instances, each ASC should be evaluated according to its proposed surgical services and the features of the health care system and mix of insured, Medicaid and uninsured individuals in its service area.

In their initial applications, ASCs should propose a targeted volume of Medicaid and charity care cases that reflects their individual services and service area circumstances and which meets the requirements of section 709.5. To aid prospective ASCs in reaching a volume of Medicaid and charity care cases appropriate for their services and service areas, we recommend that their CON applications include the following:

- Contracts with two or more Medicaid managed care plans (if contracts cannot be executed before the ASC receives PHHPC establishment approval, letters of intent from the plans will suffice).
- Documentation of meetings, correspondence, conference calls or other contacts with FQHCs, provider associations, advocacy groups for the underserved, DSRIP Performing Provider Systems (PPS's), local health departments or other organizations to develop referral and other collaborative arrangements to bring ambulatory surgery services to Medicaid and charity care clients.

• A plan and associated staffing to conduct outreach to underserved groups, develop referral arrangements with FQHCs and other primary care providers and navigate patients through the scheduling of appointments, surgery and post-surgical follow-up.

The Department and the PHHPC will review the individual ASC's proposed volume of Medicaid and charity care cases to determine if it is reasonable, given the applicant's proposed services and circumstances, and in keeping with the intent of section 709.5 for the provision of access to ambulatory surgical care by the underserved. We are confident that this differential approach will be both rigorous enough to result in better access to freestanding ASC care by Medicaid and charity care clients and flexible enough to result in attainable volumes of such cases by individual ASCs.

Assessment of Effort – Retrospective

A freestanding ASC for which there are no quality concerns or outstanding enforcement actions and which has achieved its targets for Medicaid and charity care cases can expect to proceed to indefinite certification at the end of its initial five-year limited life approval period. However, for those ASCs that fail to attain the Medicaid and charity care caseloads projected at the time of initial CON approval, the Department will need to determine whether the facility's initial targets are still applicable or whether they should be adjusted based on other considerations. It seems likely, for instance, that the multiple changes occurring in the organization and financing of health care services under DSRIP, in the expansion of coverage under the Affordable Care Act, and in the delivery of reinvigorated primary and preventive care services through PPS arrangements may combine to affect freestanding ASCs in ways that confound their best efforts to meet their obligations to Medicaid and uninsured populations. We also note that according to the New York State Association of Ambulatory Surgery Centers

(NYSAASC), it is standard practice for freestanding ASCs to work to enroll uninsured patients in Medicaid programs before providing services (as it is for their hospital colleagues). But the lowering of uninsured numbers that results from such commendable initiatives can, paradoxically, penalize the individual facility by leaving the mistaken impression that its operators are not sufficiently disposed to serve the uninsured.

In view of these various circumstances, we recommend that in evaluating the efforts of ASCs to reach the underserved in their initial five years, the Department and the PHHPC take into account the effects of health system changes and facility activities that have had a bearing on the individual ASC's performance, as documented by the applicant. These considerations may include but should not be limited to:

- A preference by FQHCs and other primary care providers in the ASC's service area for other arrangements for surgical services, such as with local hospital-based ASCs or with PPS's in which the ASC is not a member;
- Higher-than-expected growth in ACA and Medicaid coverage in the service area, resulting in fewer numbers of uninsured individuals who would otherwise be eligible for charity care;
- Outreach activities and systematic efforts by the ASC to publicize its services to underserved groups that nevertheless have not drawn sufficient numbers of charity care patients;
- Consolidation of ambulatory surgery services, whether hospital-based or freestanding, into PPS's to which the applicant ASC has been unable to gain entry;
- Activities by the ASC that may help compensate for a low volume of charity care cases, such as:
 - Services to a significantly higher-than-expected volume of Medicaid managed care clients;
 - Incursion of a high percentage of bad debt attributable to services to individuals covered by policies with high co-pays and deductibles under the ACA.

- ASC enrollment into Medicaid, through New York State of Health (the insurance exchange) or other mechanisms, of patients who initially present as uninsured but who are found to be Medicaid-eligible.
- Use of FQHC sliding fee scales for treatment of uninsured FQHC patients referred to the ASC.

Consideration of these and other factors will enable the Department to evaluate the fiveyear efforts of ASCs in a more individualized and discriminating manner. In recommending this
differential approach, however, we wish to emphasize that services to Medicaid clients and the
absorption of high amounts of bad debt should not substitute altogether for the provision of
charity care. The Department's review should evaluate the extent to which the individual
freestanding ASC has made a sustained good faith effort to serve charity care clients over the
course of its initial limited life period (including enrollment into Medicaid of those initially
uninsured but found to be Medicaid-eligible); and whether the resulting number of charity care
cases is reasonable in view of the ASC's particular service area and circumstances. We are
confident that such efforts will result in improved access to care by uninsured clients, even in
areas where the uninsured are few.

We further recommend that the Department report to the PHHPC annually on the Medicaid and charity care efforts to date of those ASCs scheduled to reach the end of their limited-life certification in the next three years. We believe that such systematic monitoring of ASC performance will encourage ASCs to pursue their efforts to serve Medicaid and charity care clients more vigorously and to reach their targeted levels of service to these groups well in advance of the end of the initial five-year certification period for each facility.

Conclusion

We recommend the continued case-by-case review of proposed and actual ASC performance in providing charity care and care to Medicaid clients but with the consideration of each ASC's circumstances and experience, as described in this report. The Committee believes that this more nuanced approach will prove a practical and effective means of setting reasonable, attainable expectations of ASCs in their efforts to meet the requirements of section 709.5.

We wish to express our thanks to the individuals and organizations who attended the Committee's several meetings and contributed to our consideration of this important issue. The accounts of their difficulties and successes in bringing ASC services to the uninsured and the Medicaid population have largely informed this report and will aid the PHHPC and the Department in evaluating ASC applications and performance with greater fairness and precision.

We also wish to note the support voiced by individual ASC operators and the New York State Association of Ambulatory Surgery Centers for the provision of ASC services to the uninsured and Medicaid clients, over and above the considerable contribution these facilities make to the HCRA pools that support the health care safety net. We suggest that the NYSAASC work with the Community Health Centers Association of New York State (CHCANYS) to help ASCs develop working relationships with FQHCs and other community-based providers of primary care serving Medicaid clients and the uninsured. We are also hopeful that the willingness and commitment of freestanding ASC's to extend services to these underserved groups will cause Medicaid managed care plans, PPS networks and other entities to see these providers as potential partners in their own efforts to bring appropriate surgical services to their clients.

Ad Hoc Committee on Freestanding Ambulatory Surgery Centers and Charity Care

List of Speakers

Diana Souri

New York City Department of Health and

Mental Hygiene

American Cancer Society

Tara Entwistle

American Cancer Society

New York City Department of Health and

Mental Hygiene

Helen Lowenwirth

Administrator

East Side Endoscopy

Scott Williams

Administrator

Carnegie Hill Endoscopy

Shelley Borham

Associate Director, Grants Management

Charles B. Wang Community Health Center

Ann Lynch

Charles B. Wang Community Health Center

Ivy Rosarie

Gouverneur Health Center

Tom Faith

President

New York State Association of Ambulatory

Surgery Centers

John Goehle

Treasurer and Past President

New York State Association of Ambulatory

Surgery Centers

Adopted by PHHPC 8/6/15

Steven Ackerman

New York State Association of Ambulatory

Surgery Centers

Frank Cicero

Cicero Consulting Associates, VCC Inc.

Joan Camera

NJC Management Associates

Jack Knowlton

Empire Health Advisors